

Published on Web 11/09/2006

Activity-Based Substrate Profiling for Gcn5-Related *N*-Acetyltransferases: The Use of Chloroacetyl-Coenzyme A To Identify Protein Substrates

Michael Yu, Luiz Pedro Sorio de Carvalho, Guangxing Sun, and John S. Blanchard*

Department of Biochemistry, Albert Einstein College of Medicine, 1300 Morris Park Avenue, Bronx, New York 10461

Received August 30, 2006; E-mail: blanchar@aecom.yu.edu


The first members of what is now termed the GNAT superfamily of N-acetyltransferases were identified as aminoglycoside Nacetyltransferases that regioselectively acetylate one of the primary or secondary amine functions of this class of antibiotics, resulting in substantially decreased binding to the ribosomal A site in the 30S ribosomal subunit.² In 1992, the yeast transcriptional regulator, Gcn5, was shown to have sequence homology with these bacterial enzymes,3 and shortly thereafter, a Tetrahymena homologue of Gcn5 was shown to catalyze histone acetylation.4 The Gcn5-related N-acetyltransferases comprise one of the largest enzyme superfamilies, with over 10 000 identified GNAT members in sequenced genomes.⁵ GNAT superfamily members can be identified in all kingdoms of life, including archea, bacteria, plants, and mammals. While the overall sequence homology can be very low, the core monomer fold, representing the acetylCoA (AcCoA) binding domain, is extraordinarily structurally conserved.⁵ Although well over two dozen structures are now known, these unfortunately provide little indication of their acetylatable substrate specificity, and GNAT family members can regioselectively acetylate antibiotics (aminoglycosides, tabtoxin), metabolic intermediates (glucosamine-6-phosphate, serotonin, spermidine), and proteins, both internally on the ϵ -amino group of lysine residues of histones and metabolic enzymes and at the α-N-terminal amino acid.⁵

In *E. coli*, twenty-six GNATs can be identified in the genome. Only one has been expressed and functionally characterized as a spermine/spermidine *N*-acetyltransferase.⁶ Three others, RimI, RimJ, and RimL, have been proposed to be the α-*N*-acetyltransferases that acetylate the ribosomal proteins S18, S5, and L12, respectively.^{7,8} The other twenty-two *E. coli* GNATs have no known, or hypothesized, function. We have cloned both the *rimL* and *L12* genes from *Salmonella enterica*, heterologously expressed these proteins in *E. coli*, and shown that L12 is a bona fide substrate for RimL.⁹ Having a functional *N*-acetyltransferase and its cognate protein substrate, we wished to explore the development of a reagent and method to identify the substrate for any acetyltransferase.

Using a spectrophotometric assay to measure the thiol of CoA, we demonstrated that chloroacetyl-CoA (ClAcCoA) is a substrate for RimL (Scheme 1B), exhibiting a $k_{\rm cat}/K_{\rm m}$ value only 10 times lower than AcCoA (Supporting Information, Figures S1 and S2). We selected chloroacetylCoA over other haloacetylCoA complexes because we felt that the chloroacetylated product would be more stable to hydrolysis, generating the nonreactive glycolated protein. In order to confirm the identity of the acetylCoA labeled product, we incubated ClAcCoA, L12, and RimL for various times. Mass spectrometric analysis of a 10-min incubation (Figure S2) revealed the presence of a major peak corresponding to the chloroacetylated-L12 (mass: 13065) and a minor peak corresponding to acetylCoA labeled L12 (mass: 13796).

The rapid formation of the chloroacetylated-L12, and its much slower reaction with CoA, generated stoichiometrically with

Scheme 1. (A) Synthesis of Chloroacetyl-CoA; (B) Enzyme-Catalyzed Chloroacetyltransfer from CIAcCoA to Substrate (a) Followed by Chloride Elimination To Generate the Fluorescently Labeled Protein (b)



chloroacetylated-L12, suggested that exogenously added thiol-containing compounds could outcompete CoASH for the chloroacetylated substrates. To assess the applicability of ClAcCoA as a reagent for the identification of GNAT substrates, we tested whether the Hat1 histone acetyltransferase would use ClAcCoA as a substrate and would retain its substrate specificity. Using purified yeast Hat1 and a mixture of histones H2A, H2B, H3, and H4, chloroacetyltransfer from ClAcCoA was assessed. The chloroacetylation occurs exclusively on H4 (Figure 1), as assessed by capture of the chloroacetylated-H4 by cysteamine-TAMRA, a result fully consistent with the known preferential specificity of Hat1 for H4.

To generate a capture reagent containing both a fluorophore and an affinity tag, a peptide containing an His₈ sequence with a C-terminal cysteine residue and N-terminal fluorescein label was prepared. ClAcCoA and histidine-tagged RimL were added to crude *Salmonella* extracts and incubated for 20 min, followed by the addition of the fluorescein-His₈ capture peptide. After gel filtration to remove unlabeled peptide, the mixture was loaded onto a Ni-NTA column, washed, and eluted with 300 mM imidazole. After SDS-PAGE, a single fluorescent band (Figure 2) was observed on the gel corresponding to the mass of peptidylated L12, as determined on the same gel after Coomassie staining. The fluorescent band was excised and subjected to trypsin digestion. The resulting tryptic fragments were analyzed by MS/MS spectra and confirmed the band as L12 with the fluorescein-His₈-GGC peptide covalently bound to its N terminus (Figures S4 and S5).

These experiments using purified N-acetyltransferases and their known cognate substrates validated the proposed chemistry and utility of ClAcCoA as a labeling reagent. However, the identification of the unknown substrates of the thousands of GNAT superfamily members requires that the labeling be specific and sensitive enough to identify substrates in cell extracts. We prepared dialyzed, centrifuged extracts of Salmonella enterica grown to early log phase, where the L12/L7 ratio is largest, and added purified RimL and




Figure 1. Hat1-specific chloroacetylation of histone H4: (top) Coomassie stained SDS-PAGE gel of histone mixture; (bottom) fluorogram of same gel showing specific chloroacetylation of H4 and capture by TAMRA-cysteamine.




Figure 2. Chloroacetyl-L12 capture by a fluorescein-labeled His8-GGC peptide, followed by Ni-NTA affinity purification: lane 1, ClAcCoA; lane 2, Riml; lane 3, complete. The left panel shows Coomassie staining; the right panel shows fluorometric analysis.

ClAcCoA to the extract. After 20 min, the aminoethanethiolated derivative of TAMRA was added and incubated with the extracts. The entire extract was loaded onto an SDS-PAGE gel and visualized fluorometrically and by staining with Coomassie Blue R-250. As seen in Figure 3, only in the presence of RimL and ClAcCoA is a fluorescent band observed at the molecular weight of the L12 monomer. These data demonstrate that RimL will correctly find its cognate substrate, L12, in a complex mixture and chloroacetylate it.

Chloroacetyl-coenzyme A appears to fulfill all of the requirements for a reagent that will be useful in identifying the substrate or substrates for the functionally unidentified GNAT superfamily members in bacteria. Both prokaryotic and eukaryotic GNATs use this compound as substrate. The chloroacetylated products are stable enough to be hydrolyzed slowly, yet reactive enough to undergo rapid, and selective, reaction with thiol nucleophiles. Thiol-containing capture reagents can be generated with both fluorescent and affinity tags that will be useful for purifying and identifying chloroacetylated products. The method has been shown to be sensitive (10 ng of fluorescein labeled L12 can be observed in SDS-PAGE gels, Figure S6) and selective enough for the proteomewide identification of the substrates for bacterial GNATs. Although




Figure 3. Chloroacetyl-L12 capture by TAMRA-cysteamine in crude cell extracts: lane 1, ClAcCoA; lane 2, Riml; lane 3, complete. The left panel shows Coomassie staining; the right panel shows fluorometric analysis.

reversible acetylation has been most thoroughly studied in eucaryotes, there are a growing number of examples where reversible acetylation plays an important regulatory role in prokaryotes. The *Salmonella* acetyl-CoA synthase has recently been shown to be reversibly acetylated on Lys460 by a protein acetyltransferase with a concomitant loss of catalytic activity. In addition, there is mounting evidence that eucaryotic histone acetyltransferases may have broader substrate specificity than once imagined, including the catalytic capability to acetylate both histones, transcription factors, and non-histone proteins. A reagent, such as the one described in this report, would be extremely valuable for the potential identification of unknown acetyltransferases with known substrates or known histone acetyltransferases for whom the manifold of its protein substrates are unknown.

Acknowledgment. This work was supported by grants from the NIH: Grant AI60899 (to J.S.B.) and Grant T32 GM07288 (to M.Y.).

Supporting Information Available: Scheme S1, Figures S1–S6, materials, and methods. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

References

- (1) Davies, J.; Wright, G. D. Trends Microbiol. 1997, 5, 234-240.
- Llano-Sotelo, B.; Azucnea, E. F.; Kotra, L. P.; Mobashery, S.; Chow, C. S. Chem. Biol. 2002, 9, 455–463.
- (3) Berger, S. L.; Pina, B.; Silverman, N.; Marcus, G. A.; Agapite, J.; Regier, J. L.; Trienzenberg, S. J.; Guarente, L. Cell 1992, 70, 251–265.
- (4) Brownell, J. E.; Zhou, J.; Ranalli, T.; Kobayashi, R.; Edmondsom, D. G.; Roth, S. Y.; Allis, C. D. Cell 1996, 84, 843–851.
- (5) Vetting, M. W.; de Carvalho, L. P.; Yu, M.; Hegde, S. S.; Magnet, S.; Roderick, S. L.; Blanchard, J. S. Arch. Biochem. Biophys. 2005, 433, 212–226.
- (6) Fukuchi, J.; Kashiwagi, K.; Takio, K.; Igarashi, K. J. Biol. Chem. 1994, 269, 22581–22585.
- (7) Tanaka, S.; Matsushita, Y.; Yoshikawa, A.; Isono, K. Mol. Gen. Genet. 1989, 217, 289–293.
- (8) Yoshikawa, A.; Isono, S.; Sheback, A.; Isono, K. Mol. Gen. Genet. 1987, 209, 481–488.
- (9) Vetting, M. W.; de Carvalho, L. P. S.; Roderick, S. L.; Blanchard, J. S. J. Biol. Chem. 2005, 280, 22108–22114.
- (10) Parthun, M. R.; Widom, J.; Gottshling, D. E. Cell 1996, 87, 85-94.
- (11) Starai, V. J.; Escalante-Semerena, J. Č. *J. Mol. Biol.* **2004**, *340*, 1005–1012.
- (12) Glozak, M. A.; Sengupta, N.; Zhang, X.; Seto, E. Gene 2005, 363, 15–23.
 JA066298W